|  |
| --- |
| **EDIA in Research Grant Adjudication Matrix** |
| Researcher |  Click or tap here to enter text. |
| Competition deadline |  Click or tap to enter a date. |
|  |
| **Categories** | **Max Score** | **Reviewer's Score** | **Reviewer's comments** |
| Proposed Activity and outputs1. Rationale for need **(max 5)**
2. Does the activity appropriately address the need? **(max 5)**
3. Methodology (if applicable) **(max 5)**
4. Are the proposed activity and outputs appropriate to realize the goal of this grant? **(max 5)**
 | **15-20** | Click or tap here to enter text. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| Mobilization of outputs1. Is the output and KMb goal appropriate to realize the goal of this grant**? (max 5)**
2. Will the output be accessible to target users? **(max 5)**
3. Will the goal and reach of the output be evaluated? **(max 5)**
 | **15** | Click or tap here to enter text. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| Appropriateness of Budget and Justification | **5** | Click or tap here to enter text. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| Past Research in EDIA related themes/ Community-based research or knowledge mobilization with equity  | Noted, not scored  | Click or tap here to enter text. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| Significance of past achievements as evidenced by previous outputs, mentorship, and knowledge mobilization activities (CV) | Noted, not scored  | Click or tap here to enter text.  | Click or tap here to enter text.  |
| **Score** | **35-40** | Click or tap here to enter text. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
|  |
| **Instructions:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| Each grant shall be scored by both a primary and a secondary reviewer.  |
| During the adjudication meeting, both the primary and secondary reviewers will speak to their assessment of the application. All members of the CRP will be given an opportunity to discuss the application. A mean score will determine the outcome. |