|  |
| --- |
| **SSHRC Exchange Adjudication Matrix** |
| Researcher |   |
| Competition |   |
|   |   |   |   |   |
| **Categories** | **Max Score** | **Reviewer's Score** | **Reviewer's comments** |
| Challenge – the aim and importance of the endeavor (50%) | 20   |
| Objectives and Context | 5 |  |  |
| Program Activities | 5 |  |  |
| Originality, Significance, Expected Outcomes | 10 |  |  |
| Feasibility – the plan to achieve excellence (40%) | 15   |
| Capability of applicant/team in relation to the proposed research | 5 |  |  |
| Communication of Results | 5 |  |  |
| Appropriateness of Budget and Justification | 5 |  |  |
| Past Research Record – the expertise to succeed (10%) | 5   |
| Significance of past achievements as evidenced by previous outputs, mentorship, and knowledge mobilization activities (CV) | 5 |   |   |
| **Score** | **40** | **0** |  |
|   |   |   |   |   |
| **Instructions:** |  |   |   |   |
| Each grant shall be scored by both a primary and a secondary reviewer. Each section may receive up to a maximum score of 5 (whole or half numbers only). |
| During the adjudication meeting, both the primary and secondary reviewers will speak to their assessment of the application. All members of the CRP will be given an opportunity to discuss the application. A mean score will determine the outcome. |